ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಈ ಏರ್ಪಾಡಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಸಹಾಯ ಬೇಕೆಂದು, ಅವರು ಸಹಾಯ ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಟಿ.ಎ. ಮತ್ತು ಡಿ.ಎ. ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರಸಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರನ್ನೂ ಸೇರಿಸಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಗೆ ಅವರೂ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಅವರ ಸಹಕಾರವೂ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಅವರೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರೇ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರಸಾರದ ಭಾಷಣಕಾರರಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ? ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಕೇಳುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರಚಾರದಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರಿಗೂ ಬಹಳ ತಲೆನೋವು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ !

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನಗೌಡ.—ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರಸಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವವರಿಗೆ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ qualification, ಅಂದರೆ ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇಂಥಿಂಥ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಪಾಸು ಮಾಡಿರಬೇಕೆಂದೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ; ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅವರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾದವರಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಮೇಲೆ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮಚ್.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಚೇರಿ, ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ದೇಶದ ಇನ್ನಾವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ.—defalcation ಮಾಡಿದವರನ್ನೂ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ defalcation ಆಪಾದನೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಡಿಸ್‌ಮಿಸ್ ಆದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ ; ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಕ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಯಾರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತೋ ಅವರು ಬಾರದೆ, ಭಾಗವಹಿಸದೆ ಸಭೆ ನಿಂತು ಹೋಗಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ !

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಕ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಸಾವಿರಾರು ಜನ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರು ಬರದೆ, ಖರ್ಚೂ ಆಗಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಜನರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯೂ ಆಗಿರುವುದು, ಯಾವ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಹೀಗಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Conciliation Proceedings under ths Industrial Disputes Act.

Q.—208. Sri D. VENKATESH (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of failure reports of Conciliation Proceeding under the Industrial Disputes Act from Conciliation Officers throughout the State ;

(i) the date of each such failure report ;

(ii) the date of receipt by the Government of each such failure report ;

(iii) the number of such reports pending disposal by way of reference or otherwise ;

(*b*) in how many and what cases has the Hon'ble Minister for Labour notified and conducted Conciliation Proceedings in his chamber even after receipt of report of failure of Conciliation Proceedings by Conciliation Officess since January 1955.

(*c*) in how many cases has such re-hearing of Conciliation Proceedings been successful ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) 28 (As no period is mentioned in the Question, the figure for 1955-56 is furnished).

(i) *Vide* Column 2 of the appended Statement.

(ii) *Vide* Column 3 of the appended Statement.

(iii) 26.

(*b*) 6.

(*c*) 2.

STATEMENT.

Sl. No.	Industry—date of failure report	Date of receipt by the Government of failure report
1	Dispute between the Management and Workers of the Mysore Lac and Paint Works, Ltd., Mysore,—5th December 1955.	7th December 1955
2	Dispute between the Workers and Management of Gaffar and Jahangir Beedi Works, Mysore,—28th September 1955.	3rd October 1955, Final report is still awaited.
3	Dispute between Workers and Management of Peer Beedi Factory, Mysore,—30th September 1955.	4th October 1955
4	Dispute between 40 Workers and Management of Sovereign Beedi Factory, Mysore,—12th October 1955.	22nd October 1955
5	Dispute between 16 Employees in Bombay Ananda Bhavan, Chikpet, Bangalore City,—14th July 1955.	22nd July 1955, Final report on 20th February 1956.
6	Dispute between the Workers and Management of Glass and Enamel Works, Ltd., Bangalore,—26th December 1955.	28th December 1955
7	Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Srinivasa Silk Throwing and Weaving Mills, Bangalore,—28th July 1955.	30th July 1955
8	Dispute between the Management and the Sanitary Board Workers, Vimanapura, Bangalore,—19th August 1955.	23rd August 1955
9	Dispute between the Workers and Management of the Mysore Stoneware Pipes and Potteries, Ltd.,—23rd September 1955.	29th September 1955
10	Dispute between the Workers and the Management of certain 14 Hotels, Bangalore City,—24th August 1955.	10th February 1956, Final report received on 23rd February 1956.
11	Dispute between M/s. Desi Textile Mills and Workers,—24th November 1955.	30th November 1955
12	Dispute between the Management of Messrs. Metro Malleable Manufacturers, Ltd., Bangalore and Workers,—15th December 1955.	16th December 1955, Final report received on 1st February 1956.
13	Dispute between the Workers and Management of Messrs. Metro Malleable Manufacturers, Ltd., Bangalore,—30th September 1955.	16th September 1955 and 21st September 1955.
14	Dispute between the Workers and Management of Mysore State Hides, Skins and Tanners, Bangalore,—14th July 1955.	22nd July 1955
15.	Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Mysore Commercial Union, Ltd., Yeswantapur,—20th August 1955.	22nd August 1955
16	Dispute between the Management and Workers of 7 Beedi Factories of Channapatna,—18th November 1955.	23rd November 1955

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

Sl. No.	Industry—date of failure report	Date of receipt by the Government of failure report
17	Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Messrs. Tin Industries, Ltd., Dhurvani Nagar, Bangalore,—24th November 1955.	2nd December 1955
18	Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Messrs. Kirloskar Electric Co., Ltd., Bangalore 3,—1st October 1955.	5th October 1955
19	Dispute between the Workers and the Management of Srinivas Soap Works, Chamarajpet, Bangalore-2,—7th September 1955.	13th October 1955
20	Dispute between the Management and two Workers of India Coffee Board Labour Union, Bangalore Branch,—17th September 1955.	28th September 1955
21	Dispute between the Management and Workers of the Standard Brick and Tile Co., Ltd., Yelahanka, —16th February 1956.	16th February 1956
22	Dispute between Messrs. Kabadi Vittalasa & Sons, Bangalore and Workers,—1st February 1956.	3rd February 1956, Final report is awaited.
23	Dispute between Management and Workers of Messrs. Kabadi Venkusa & Sons,—1st February 1956.	3rd February 1956, Final report is awaited.
24	Dispute between the Workers and the Management of India Publications, Ltd., Bangalore,—1st February 1956.	Final report was received on 22nd February 1956.
25	Dispute between the Workers and the Management of Standard Brick and Tile Co., Yelahanka,—8th February 1956.	9th February 1956
26	Dispute between the Employees' Association and the Management of Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., Bangalore,—20th January 1955.	25th January 1955
27	Dispute between the Workers and the Management of Mysore Chrome Tanning Co., Ltd.,—10th October 1955.	22nd October 1955
28	Dispute between the Workers and Management of the Singer Sewing Machine Co., and its various branches in Mysore State,—14th November 1955.	21st November 1955

Sri D. VENKATESH.—With regard to (*c*), what are those two cases in which the Conciliation proceedings have been successful?

***Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—I may give further information. In addition to the above two cases, two more cases, out of six, have been settled. Regarding the cases that have been settled, I will give the answer later.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—On page 11, with regard to answer 10 in the schedule, about fourteen hotels have been mentioned. Regarding No. 10, the concilation failure occurred on 24th August 1955 and the date of receipt of final report was on 26th February

1956. Why was there a delay of six months, Sir?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—An interval of only 12 days. I think that time was necessary.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—There was an interval of six months. . . .

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The failure of conciliation was on 10th February 1956 and the final report was received on 23rd February 1956. . . .

Sri D. VENKATESH.—No, Sir. On 24th August 1955, the conciliation was a failure and the receipt of the report by the Government was on 26th February. There was a delay of six months.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I will examine that.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—With regard to Sl. Nos. 22 and 23 on page 12, dispute of Vittalasa and Venkusa, even though the report has been received, so far it has not been referred to adjudication. Will the Government consider. . . .

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—If I remember aright, some individual cases are concerned there and in individual cases, we do not refer to adjudication.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—It is not an individual case, Sir. It is a collective case.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Anyhow, that is my recollection. I will show the file to the Hon'ble Member.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is re-conciliation of cases prescribed under the Act even after the failure of conciliation proceedings?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Yes conciliation can go on at any time.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that the Commissioner of Labour as well as the Hon'ble Minister re-conciliates after the conciliation proceedings are reported as a failure?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Yes.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that when conciliation proceedings have been reported as a failure, the Act does not prescribe re-conciliation?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Conciliation can be taken up at any stage of the case because of the interests concerned.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—With regard to Sl. Number 26—dispute between the Employees' Association and the Management of H. A. L., at what stage is the dispute?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—All the issues have been settled therein, except the case of three officers who are there, and I took it up at the instance of the Labour commissioner to the Management. Their view is that they cannot reconsider it.

I will give the answer regarding the cases in which the conciliation proceedings have been successful. Sl. Number 12, between the Management of Metro Malleable Manufacturers, Ltd., and Workers. There were two cases. One was settled yesterday and the other will be referred to the Tribunal. Then as regards the dispute between the Management and Workers of Coffee Board, that has been settled. The dispute between the Workers and the Management of the Mysore Chrome Tanning Co., that has also been settled.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—In connection with conciliation procedure, the Minister was pleased to answer that conciliation will be carried on at any stage. But the question put by my friend Sri Venkatesh was not about conciliation but about re-conciliation. He said that conciliation at the level of the divisional inspector or at the level of the Labour Commissioner will have been done, and failing which the report is made to the Government and the Government in turn will have to permit the concerned parties to go before the tribunal. Instead, the Minister once again tries to re-conciliate about the matter. Is that procedure prescribed is the question.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—After the receipt of the report of the Labour Commissioner, it may be referred to for re-conciliation. Discretion is vested in the Government to refer it to the tribunal or not to refer it to the tribunal, or bring up for further settlement by conciliation. All the three ways are open and Government is adopting the best way possible.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Sir, once the Labour Commissioner reports to the Minister that the conciliation procedure has failed and in case the Minister has once again to open the matter and say that he would re-conciliate the matter, will it not amount to dodging in the matter ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I am sorry for the imputation. I have tried in about 10 cases and I have succeeded in six and in the other four cases I have not been successful. But it has been found to be of great advantage to the parties.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—In the case of the Spun Silk Mills, Chennapatna, the Labour Commissioner reported that there had been a breach of the agreement so far as the implementation of the award was concerned. The Hon'ble Minister says that after getting legal interpretation of the award he would further negotiate in the matter. This amounts to dodging and nothing else ; is it not correct ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—He is not correct, Sir. In fact the other day we discussed this matter and if necessary, I am prepared to throw further light on that.

Harijans, Bangalore District (Drinking Water Wells)

Q.—414. Sri H. T. PUTTAPPA (Hoskote-Anekal-Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of drinking water wells dug for the use of Harijans in Bangalore District ;

(*b*) the number of villages in which there are no such drinking water wells for Harijans (talukwar information may kindly be given) ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) 526.

(*b*) 366.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಟಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿಜನರಿಗಾಗಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 366 ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದರ ಅರ್ಥವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—366 ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಟಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಿವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ನೋಟೀನು ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಟಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ.—ಎರಡೂವರೆ, ಮೂರು ಸಾವಿರ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಿವೆಯಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಟಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ.—ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಇರುವ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 526, ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳಿಲ್ಲದ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 366 ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Sir, in your reply you have said 526 villages have been provided with wells and there are 366 yet to be provided for. May I know the number of villages wherein the Harijan friends are living in the Bangalore District ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—That information, it is not possible to give at present. In almost all villages where Harijan slive in considerable numbers—say 30 to 40 families, we are trying to give wells.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—The point at dispute is that this information as to the number of villages is not correct, because even looking at the number of villages that are in Bangalore district, in all the eleven taluks they will amount to more than 1,000, where as if both the figures furnished in your answer are totalled, the number will not come to even 900 ; can this figure be correct ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is correct Sir, because the information given is with regard to villages where wells have still to be dug. There may be other villages where there are already wells in existence and which do not require further wells.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Sir . . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—The answer now given makes it clear that there may be Harijans in other villages where there may be no necessity to dig wells.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—The question is about the total number of wells dug for the use of Harijans; there is no point in furnishing the answer